



## NORTH CAROLINA BOARD OF ELECTROLYSIS EXAMINERS

Pinehurst Building, Suite 60, 2 Centerview Drive, Greensboro, NC 27407

Phone: 336 856-1010 E-mail: [ncbeexam@att.net](mailto:ncbeexam@att.net)

### CALLED MEETING MINUTES – March 12, 2018

Persons in attendance – *for the Board*: Ronda Jones, Jennifer Morris, Charlene Poole, Dr. Kundaria, Ashley McCullough, Susan Magas, Adm. Asst., Dennis Seavers, Ex Dir. Barber Board  
*Members of the Public*: Alice Saintsing, Tracey Jonas, Andrea Arnold, Dorenda Stilwell, Margaret Wingate, Myrtle Hamrick, Cindy Roberts.

Chairman Jones called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. After reading the Ethics and Conflict of Interest reminder, all board members indicated no conflict.

Approval of Meeting Minutes – On a motion from Poole, seconded by Kundaria, the minutes from January 13, February 7, February 15, and February 28 were approved on a vote of four in favor (Jones, Kundaria, Poole, McCullough) and one opposed (Morris.) Morris said she had not had an opportunity to complete her review of the February 7 minutes. Jones advised her to submit any further comments in writing.

#### NC Laser Hair Removal Safety Association Seminar –

Jones asked Board members for their comments on the proposed agenda for the seminar. McCullough asked about the presenter for the laser safety segment. In her research, she was unable to find anything regarding the speaker's certifications on hair removal using lasers, other than being a laser safety officer where she works. Poole said she was also unable to find anything about the presenter online. Kundaria had a concern that the material provided (three slides) was insufficient for evaluating that many CEU credits. An outline of the presentation would be helpful in framing the breadth of the discussion. Morris said the outline was spelled out on the form submitted for the course. The Board could have requested more material before the discussion tonight. A member of the public, Dorenda Stilwell asked to be recognized, but Jones ruled the comment out of order at this point in the meeting.

Jones stated there is work to be done on this seminar. She acknowledged the attempt to involve different presenters other than Trudy Brown, whom the organization has almost totally relied on in previous years. Jones expressed a concern that so much of the content is being delivered via video. No resume' was provided for Dr. Satterfield, but online research suggests that he is basically just a doctor who writes books. It would seem to be an injustice to the laser techs as they should have real people with whom they can engage or at least some type of follow-up questions, or tests to reinforce the video. AEA allowed only 1.5 hours of video from Satterfield. And lastly, there's no real accountability with this. At the end of the day, 5 ½ hours for 10 hours of required CEU's is disturbing.

McCullough described the CEU process in her industry, so she is accustomed to having clips of videos in power points, but not so many hours without face-to-face contact. She also noted that in courses she has taken, there is always a summary of the material to be covered. There is generally more information provided about the experience and qualifications of the presenter. This is typically the type of information provided upfront by the seminar sponsor – it is standard.

Jones noted that with other state boards, the training is quite intense. There are a lot of modules and testing involved with interactive type learning. Poole suggested that a qualified individual be available after the video to answer questions.

Kundaria noted that he accessed Satterfield's lectures on greatcourses.com. The bank of lectures lists 30 minutes for each topic. If the courses we're considering are timed similarly, then 2 hours credit is being given for 90 minutes of study. So, things are not quite adding up. After further discussion, the Board concurred that there were at least 10 hours in the curriculum proposed. On a vote of two in favor of approving the seminar (Morris, Poole) and three opposed (Jones, Kundaria, McCullough), the Board declined to approve the seminar. Jones noted she would like to see the program amended and resubmitted in a form that meets the concerns discussed this evening. A notice will be sent to explain the Board's decision.

Treasurer Duties – Jones inquired whether Morris had considered whether she wanted to continue the Treasurer capacity, as previously offered. Jones reminded Board members that SEIs are due April 15.

GPEA Response – Magas reported that the Board approved a response to the erroneous information published by GPEA in its fall newsletter. That response has been emailed to all licensees and posted on our web site.

Rules Re-adoption – Magas reported that the required report was transmitted today. Next step is for the RRC to decide whether they agree with us or not about how we categorized our rules. If they agree, then all the rules we deemed unnecessary will be pulled out of our rules totally. The rules that do not have substantive public interest will stay as is, and the rest of the rules (nine) are those that will have to go through re-adoption process. This will involve consideration of those rules that the Board decided had public substantive interest. For each of these, a decision will be made on whether to readopt them as is or amend them. We cannot start that process until we are advised by RRC to proceed.

There was no other business, and the meeting was adjourned at 7:57 p.m.